



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/686,671	10/17/2003	Shigeru Hayakawa	000409-065	8268	
21839	7590 09/27/2	04	EXAM	EXAMINER	
BURNS DOANE SWECKER & MATHIS L L P			ESTREMSKY, GARY WAYNE		
	CE BOX 1404 UA, VA 22313-14	4	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3676		
			DATE MAIL ED: 09/27/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

/		·	<b>M</b>
1	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/686,671	HAYAKAWA ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Gary Estremsky	3676	
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet wit	h the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO  Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication  If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a  If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory pe  Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by st Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mearned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a re reply within the statutory minimum of thirty riod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT atute, cause the application to become AB/	ply be timely filed  (30) days will be considered timely.  (HS from the mailing date of this communication  ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	on.
Status			
Responsive to communication(s) filed on _     This action is FINAL. 2b)⊠       Since this application is in condition for all closed in accordance with the practice und	This action is non-final. wance except for formal matte		is
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) 1-5 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-5 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction are	drawn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Exam  10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 17 October 2003 is/  Applicant may not request that any objection to  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the color  11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the	'are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ ol the drawing(s) be held in abeyan rrection is required if the drawing(	ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121	(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for force a) □ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of:  1. ☑ Certified copies of the priority docum 2. □ Certified copies of the priority docum 3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International Bu * See the attached detailed Office action for a	nents have been received. nents have been received in A priority documents have been reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	pplication No received in this National Stage	
Attachment(s)  1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948  3) ☒ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SE Paper No(s)/Mail Date 2/17/04.	) Paper No(s	ummary (PTO-413) )/Mail Date Iformal Patent Application (PTO-152) 	

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### **Priority**

1. Acknowledgment is made of applicant's claim for foreign priority based on an application filed in Japan on 12/13/2002. It is noted, however, that applicant has not filed a certified copy of the Japan application as required by 35 U.S.C. 119(b).

### Claim Objections

- 1. Claims 2, 4 are objected to because of the following informalities:
- Claim 2 recitation of "the biasing member for biasing the open lever" lacks clear antecedent basis in the claim.

Claim 2 – recitation of "the operating position" lacks clear antecedent basis in the claim.

Appropriate correction is required.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
   The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 3. Claims 1-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Language of the functional limitation beginning line 10 of claim 1 is vague. Meaning of recitations of "thereby allowing the lift lever operable" and "thereby prohibiting the lift lever operable" is not clear, and/or is not phrased clearly. As used, meaning of "operable" is vague.

Additionally regarding that limitation; noting that the claim is for a *product*, defined by its structure, not a *process of using*, it is not clear what structure of the "open member" or other claimed elements is further defined by functional recitations of various positions at different times, particularly recitation of "and then becomes,...". It is not clear if claimed invention must perform *steps* in a *process of using* in chronological order, or have structure/arrangement to perform function. See MPEP 2173.05(p) section II. Clarification is required.

Additionally, it is not clear what is meant by "and then becomes engaged with the lift lever in the other direction".

The language of the functional limitation beginning line 18 of claim 1 renders the scope of the claim indefinite. It is not clear what structure of the claimed invention is defined by the limitation with particular note to awkward phrasing, grammar and/or missing words of last two lines.

Preferably, the claim should be amended to include -means for performing the desired function- or physical description of the structure disclosed for performing the desired function. See MPEP 2114.

Additionally regarding claim 2, it is not clear what is meant, possibly due to missing words or spelling error. Should "open lever" be —open member—to be consistent with claim 1 limitation?

Additionally regarding claim 3, scope and meaning of "and the other end is engaged with an engaging portion provided on the open member so as to be located <u>differently from the second rotational center</u>" is not clear.

Additionally regarding claim 4, it is not clear what is meant, possibly due to missing words.

Additionally regarding claim 4, it is not clear if "the link member" refers to the previously-recited "open member" (corresponding to the disclosed "open link" (22)) or to some other generic element.

Additionally regarding claim 5, it is not clear what is meant, possibly due to missing words at line 4.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35
U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this
Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 5. Claims1, 2, 4, and 5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by U.S. Pat. No. 5,803,515 to Arabia.

Arabia '515 teaches Applicant's claim limitations including a "lift lever" - 32, an "open lever" - 50, a "lock lever" - 141,142, an "open member" - 34, a "biasing member" - 148 biases 34 towards the unlocked position when the latch

Application/Control Number: 10/686,671 Page 5

Art Unit: 3676

is latched and locked and the release handle and the unlock mechanism are operated at the same time.

As regards claim 4,5, portion of 34 linking 32,34 reads on "link member". Although the biasing member (148) of the reference is not physically between the lock lever (141,142) and the open member, it does 'connect' them for performing the recited function (as best understood) where "connecting" is broad and does not require direct contact, especially here, where the 'connection' is defined functionally.

6. Claims 1, 2, 4, and 5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by U.S. Pat. No. 5,653,484 to Brackmann.

Brackmann '484 teaches claim limitations including: a "latch mechanism" - including 1, a "lift lever" - 3, an "open lever" - 4 or 5, a "lock lever" - 6,7, an "open member" - 10,17, a "biasing member" - 29 biases 10 towards the unlocked position when the latch is latched and locked and the release handle and the unlock mechanism are operated at the same time.

As regards claim 4,5, portion of 10 linking 10,7 reads on "link member".

## Allowable Subject Matter

7. Claim 3 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims without materially changing its scope and meaning 'as it is best understood' where several specific

elements and their functional arrangement are set forth, that in combination, are not taught by the prior art.

#### Conclusion

8. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

U.S. Pat. No. 3,858,919 to Kleefeld.

U.S. Pat. No. 5,844,470 to Garnault.

U.S. Pat. No. 6,050,620 to Rogers.

U.S. Pat. No. 6,126,212 to Fujihara.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Gary Estremsky whose telephone number is 703 308-0494. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Thur 7:30-6.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Tom Will can be reached on 703 308-3870. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/686,671

Art Unit: 3676

Page 7

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Gary Estremsky Primary Examiner Art Unit 3676